

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,384	11/03/2003	Nebojsa Curcic	FA1169USNA	5811	
23906	7590 10/10/2006	,	EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			CAMERON, ERMA C		
	GAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER RLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
4417 LANCASTER PIKE		1762	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
WILMINGT	ON, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 10/10/2000	0/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				"/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/700,384	CURCIC ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Erma Cameron	1762	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1,2,5,8 and 10-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,5,8 and 10-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examined The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the conten	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) objected to by the f		
44)[]:	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	•	,	).
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exunder 35 U.S.C. § 119	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
12)[] a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2)	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/700,384

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. The rejection of Claims 1-2, 5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 7/17/2006.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. The rejection of Claims 1-2, 5 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph ("new matter"), is withdrawn because of the amendment filed 7/17/2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,384 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 5, 8 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rattee et al (4315790).

'790 teaches applying a composition to a fabric or other flexible substrate (1:7-11), the composition being comprised of a dye or pigment, a film-forming polymer, a crosslinking agent and a catalyst (see Abstract; 3:55-4:15). The composition is applied to a supporting substrate (i.e. backing foil) by screen printing (see Examples). Example 10 is an example of the composition being directly applied to the supporting substrate with screen printing. The supporting substrate can be a plastic film, metal foil or paper (4:57-5:29). The layer of transferable composition material on a substrate is pressed to the fabric while heating (an example of contact heating), and in one embodiment, the heating effects curing of the composition before removal of the substrate (6:65-7:11). The curing may also be started before removal of the substrate and finished after removal (7:5-11). The applicant has admitted in the September 22/2005 response that curing prior to removal of the backing foil, after removal or prior to and after removal are obvious variants.

The polymers include acrylics and others that would be polymerizable by free-radicals (5:41-68). The application of the coating may be considered original coating or decoration with an image.

'790 does not directly teach that the composition is applied to a vehicle body, or body part or body fitting, but the fabric of '790 could be part of an automobile, such as fabric made from polyester or nylon (i.e. plastics) to be used in car seats.

### Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued in the 7/17/2006 amendment that the substrates of the claims cannot be fabrics. The examiner's position is that "plastic automotive body fittings" would be inclusive of fabric car seats, for instance those made of polyester or nylon (i.e. plastic).

The applicant has argued that Examples 1 and 2 do not show applicant's process. The applicant is directed to Example 10 where the coating is directly applied to paper by screen printing.

There are several errors in the Table on page 9 of the 7/17/2006 amendment. First, the supporting materials used by Rattee are not only paper, but plastics and metal foil (4:57-5:29). Second, Example 10 indeed shows the coating being applied to the carrier or supporting substrate by screen printing (the examiner does not know what the applicant means by "screen print design" in the Rattee column). Third, Rattee is not confined to textile substrates. Rattee teaches that any flexible substrate may be used (1:7-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/700,384 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,384 Page 6

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER October 2, 2006 Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762